

# Is Bill C-38 in the "national interest?"

By Stephen Gray

**"After weeks of appearing non-committal on Bill C-38, which would change the legal definition of marriage in Canada, Liberal House Leader Tony Valeri on Tuesday said the government is now declaring it a matter of national interest."**

[http://www.ctv.ca/servlet/ArticleNews/story/CTVNews/1119385656799\\_114794856/?hub=TopStories](http://www.ctv.ca/servlet/ArticleNews/story/CTVNews/1119385656799_114794856/?hub=TopStories)

When was destroying the traditional definition of marriage ever in the "national interest?" Are most of the Liberals, the NDP, the Bloc and a few Conservative politicians who support this perversion of words called "same-sex marriage" suffering from brain infections? Who in their right mind would believe that a man can "marry" a man, or a woman "marry" a woman? Is there a virus loose in the house on the hill? No sane person or persons should even be contemplating or discussing such an aberration. In fact a respected religious leader had this to say: 'Same-sex marriage originates from a sick mind.' Joginder Singh Vedanti spiritual leader of the Sikhs quoted in CTV.ca News Story Tue. Jan.18, 2005

[http://www.ctv.ca/servlet/ArticleNews/story/CTVNews/1106050854857\\_2/?hub=TopStories](http://www.ctv.ca/servlet/ArticleNews/story/CTVNews/1106050854857_2/?hub=TopStories)

The highest percentage of AIDS and HIV in Canada are in the people who practice the homosexual lifestyle as can be seen by the following quote: "In Canada, the HIV/AIDS epidemic continues to grow despite the efforts of governments, community-based organizations, national non-governmental organizations (NGOs), the health care sector, researchers and a legion of committed and dedicated volunteers across the country. The Centre for Infectious Disease Prevention and Control (CIDPC) estimates that 56 000 people in Canada were living with HIV infection at the end of 2002 - a 12 per cent increase from previous estimates in 1999.<sup>3</sup> Men who have sex with men (MSM) continue to be the most affected group,..." ( [http://www.phac-aspc.gc.ca/aids-sida/hiv\\_aids/report04/1\\_e.html](http://www.phac-aspc.gc.ca/aids-sida/hiv_aids/report04/1_e.html) )

Our healthcare costs are in the billions because of this unhealthy behavior. Which raises the question: Who ever heard of a parliamentary session being prolonged to impose a deviant law on the country and then say it is in the "national interest?" Is this "law" a celebration of sodomy? Do these political fools believe that the majority of the people in the land will accept their nonsense and lies that this lunacy of Bill C-38 is a "right?"

**"I rise today in support of Bill C-38, the Civil Marriage Act. I rise in support of a Canada in which liberties are safeguarded, rights are protected and the people of this land are treated as equals under the law. ... Four years ago, I stood in this House and voted to support the traditional definition of marriage."** ( Address by Prime Minister Paul Martin on Bill C-38 )

<http://pm.gc.ca/eng/news.asp?id=42>

Mr. Martin voted to support the traditional definition of marriage, as did many other politicians now they are making liars of themselves and are imposing this political perversion - Bill C-38 - without consulting the people? Do these politicians have contempt for the electorate that they refuse the people a referendum on this matter?

**" The second argument ventured by opponents of the bill is that government ought to hold a national referendum on this issue. I reject this – not out of a disregard for the view of the people, but because it offends the very purpose of the Charter."** (Paul Martin) <http://pm.gc.ca/eng/news.asp?id=421>

Mr. Martin knows, or should know that this aberration and invention of words - "same-sex marriage" - was never in the Charter and it is he who is showing 'disregard,' and it is he who 'offends' the people of Canada by forcing this issue down their throats. Which raises the question: Why are these politicians deferring to a noisy minority over the majority? A poll showed "66% support traditional marriage" and that "most want a referendum." ( National Post, page 1, February 2, 2005.)

Are we now seeing a political dictatorship taking the place of a political democracy? Surely, there is something abnormal happening to our parliamentary system when perversion takes precedence over decency and the people of the land are refused a referendum by these promoters of political farce? Where is our "beloved" Charter when the people are denied freedom of \_expression? Where are our great believers of freedom of \_expression in the media? Are they in the back pockets of the Liberals? The media are not speaking out for democracy or for the people being denied a vote on this issue. So much for our vaunted "free press!" Instead most of the media are promoting and supporting this undemocratic political claptrap. It would appear they are as unprincipled as the Liberals.

Still, when the country has a Paul Martin imposed "democratic deficit," principles no longer exist. Lying takes the place of truth. Corruption takes the place of courage. Filth takes the place of fidelity. Bribery takes the place of bravery and hypocrisy takes the place of honor. What we have is: Political swindlers pretending to be statesmen, who masquerade under the titles of "right honorable" and "honorable," it would be funny watching these political buffoons in action if this was a pretend sick comedy show. But this political madness is for REAL. It is a tragedy to see our great country in the hands of these political reprobates; they are unfit for office, and need to be removed in the NATIONAL INTEREST.

Stephen Gray

June 26, 2005.

[graysinfo@yahoo.ca](mailto:graysinfo@yahoo.ca) Website <http://www.geocities.com/graysinfo>